# Modeling Terrestrial Processes in an ESM

Danica Lombardozzi National Center for Atmospheric Research













#### Ecological & Environmental Theory

### Land Surface Model

#### Empirical Data

#### Ecological & Environmental Theory

Conceptual & Statistical Models

#### Land Surface Model

Process Models & Feedbacks

#### **Empirical Data**

Field Observations

#### Ecological & Environmental Theory

Conceptual & Statistical Models

#### Land Surface Model

Process Models & Feedbacks

Scaling Future Projections Complex Interactions Decisions & Impacts

#### **Empirical Data**

Field Observations

## Outline

- 1. Why the land surface matters
- 2. Primary components of terrestrial energy balance
- 3. Primary components of hydrology
- 4. Primary components of the terrestrial carbon cycle



# Community Earth System Model



### The World in Global Climate Models



**IPCC AR4** 

# Community Earth System Model



# Land models for Earth System prediction

(Dental constants)



# Land models for Earth System prediction

( Carros Cossesses



### The interdisciplinary evolution of land models

#### Land as an integral component Land as a lower boundary of the Earth System to the atmosphere Focus on land-atmosphere Mechanistic modeling of Simulate the dynamics of change (e.g., dynamic vegetation) energy fluxes land processes Processes define properties (feedbacks Limited representation of Properties define processes land processes & feedbacks (focus on short-term fluxes) and interactions across time scales) The Evolution of Land Modeling **Nutrients Dynamic Vegetation Plant Canopies Crops**, Irrigation Heterogeneity **Carbon Cycle** Land Cover Change **Surface Energy Fluxes Stomatal Resistance** Lakes, Rivers, Wetlands Groundwater **Lateral Flow** Urban **Soil Moisture**

90's

00's

10's

R. Fisher

70's

80's

### The Community Land Model

(Contactores and



Why land?







# Earth System Models



Bonan (2016) Ecological Climatology, 3rd ed (Cambridge Univ. Press) Bonan (2016) Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 47:97-121

#### **Prominent terrestrial feedbacks:**

- Snow cover and climate
- soil moisture-evapotranspiration-precipitation
- land use and land cover change
- carbon cycle
- reactive nitrogen
- chemistry-climate (BVOCs, O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, aerosols)
- Biomass burning

### The role of a land model in an ESM

Land-atmosphere exchanges: energy, water vapor,  $CO_2$ , dust, trace gases, etc.

Land surface states: soil moisture, soil temperature, canopy temperature, snow water equivalent, C and N stocks

Land surface characteristics: soil texture, surface roughness, albedo, emissivity, vegetation type, leaf area index, etc.

Ultimately, we need to move energy, moisture, and gases between the land surface and the atmosphere while conserving each.

### Community Land Model



The land surface model solves Surface Energy Balance Surface Water Balance Carbon Balance at each model timestep

### **Community Land Model**

#### Surface Energy Fluxes



### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G + \text{Energy Change}$



### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G$ +Energy Change

Inputs or Forcings

### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G + \text{Energy Change}$

Inputs or Forcings

 $S^{\downarrow}$  = incoming shortwave (solar)  $L^{\downarrow}$  = incoming longwave (infrared)

### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G + \text{Energy Change}$

### Response Fluxes

### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G + \text{Energy Change}$

 $S^{\dagger}$  = outgoing shortwave (reflected solar, due to albedo)  $L^{\dagger}$  = outgoing longwave (emitted infrared,  $\varepsilon\sigma T^{4}$ )

$$S^{\downarrow} - S^{\uparrow} + L^{\downarrow} - L^{\uparrow} = net radiation$$

### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G + \text{Energy Change}$

- $S^{\dagger}$  = outgoing shortwave (reflected solar, due to albedo)  $L^{\dagger}$  = outgoing longwave (emitted infrared,  $\varepsilon\sigma T^{4}$ )
- $\lambda$ E = latent heat flux (evapotranspiration)
  - H = sensible heat flux
  - G = ground heat flux

### $S^{\downarrow} + L^{\downarrow} = S^{\uparrow} + L^{\uparrow} + \lambda E + H + G + \text{Energy Change}$

- $S^{\dagger}$  = outgoing shortwave (reflected solar, due to albedo)  $L^{\dagger}$  = outgoing longwave (emitted infrared,  $\varepsilon\sigma T^{4}$ )
- $\lambda$ E = latent heat flux (evapotranspiration)
  - H = sensible heat flux
  - G = ground heat flux

Energy change = the change in energy of some reservoir (canopy, soil, etc.)

Often, we think of the land surface as affecting the energy balance through three properties/processes:

a. Albedob. Surface Roughnessc. Evapotranspiration

Often, we think of the land surface as affecting the energy balance through three properties/processes:

a. Albedob. Surface Roughnessc. Evapotranspiration





Often, we think of the land surface as affecting the energy balance through three properties/processes:



Bonan (2016) Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 47:97-121

Often, we think of the land surface as affecting the energy balance through three properties/processes:



Bonan (2016) Ecological Climatology, 3rd ed (Cambridge Univ. Press) Bonan (2016) Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 47:97-121

Often, we think of the land surface as affecting the energy balance through three properties/processes:


## How does deforestation affect Earth's temperature?

- a. Increase
- b. Decrease
- c. Neither
- d. Both



Davin & de Noblet-Ducoudré (2010) J Clim. 23:97-112



Davin & de Noblet-Ducoudré (2010) J Clim. 23:97-112







Davin & de Noblet-Ducoudré (2010) J Clim. 23:97-112

## How does deforestation affect Earth's temperature?

a. Increase
b. Decrease
c. Neither
d. Both

Based on this study, the effect depends on the region. Deforestation cools higher latitudes but warms tropical latitudes.

Note that this is an active area of research, so this is not the final word on the impact of deforestation on climate.

# Community Land Model

### **Surface Water Balance**



(and other water balances such as snow and soil water)

# $P = (E_s + E_t + E_c) + (R_{surf} + R_{sub-surf}) + \Delta SM/\Delta t$

(and other water balances such as snow and soil water)

## $P = (E_s + E_t + E_c) + (R_{surf} + R_{sub-surf}) + \Delta SM/\Delta t$

P = rainfall

(and other water balances such as snow and soil water)

$$P = (E_s + E_t + E_c) + (R_{surf} + R_{sub-surf}) + \Delta SM/\Delta t$$

Evapotranspiration

- P = rainfall
- $E_s = soil evaporation$
- $E_t = transpiration$
- $E_c$  = canopy evaporation

(and other water balances such as snow and soil water)

$$P = (E_s + E_t + E_c) + (R_{surf} + R_{sub-surf}) + \Delta SM/\Delta t$$

Evapotranspiration

Total Runoff

- P = rainfall
- $E_s = soil evaporation$
- $E_t = transpiration$
- $E_c$  = canopy evaporation
- $$\label{eq:Rsurf} \begin{split} R_{surf} &= surface\ runoff \\ R_{sub-surf} &= sub-surface\ runoff \end{split}$$

(and other water balances such as snow and soil water)

$$P = (E_s + E_t + E_c) + (R_{surf} + R_{sub-surf}) + \Delta SM/\Delta t$$

Evapotranspiration

Total Runoff

- P = rainfall
- $E_s = soil evaporation$
- $E_t = transpiration$
- $E_c$  = canopy evaporation
- $R_{surf} = surface runoff$  $R_{sub-surf} = sub-surface runoff$
- $\Delta SM/\Delta t$  = change in soil moisture over a time step

## How does a decrease in transpiration affect runoff?

Assume precipitation is a forcing that does not change

- a. Increase
- b. Decrease
- c. Neither
- d. Both



## % Change in Transpiration



Lombardozzi et al. 2015 J. Climate

### % Change in Transpiration

### % Change in Runoff



Lombardozzi et al. 2015 J. Climate

## How does a decrease in transpiration affect runoff?

Assume precipitation is a forcing that does not change

## a. Increase

- b. Decrease
- c. Neither
- d. Both

# Community Land Model

#### **Carbon Balance**



Note: biogeochemistry is not always included in land models

(and plant and soil carbon pools)

## $NEE = GPP - R_a - R_h - Fire - LUC$

(and plant and soil carbon pools)

## $NEE = GPP - R_a - R_h - Fire - LUC$

NEE = net ecosystem exchange

(and plant and soil carbon pools)

## $NEE = GPP - R_a - R_h - Fire - LUC$

NEE = net ecosystem exchange

GPP = gross primary productivity (photosynthesis)

(and plant and soil carbon pools)

## $NEE = GPP - R_a - R_h - Fire - LUC$

Total respiration

- NEE = net ecosystem exchange
- GPP = gross primary productivity (photosynthesis)
- $R_a$  = autotrophic respiration
- $R_h$  = heterotrophic respiration

(and plant and soil carbon pools)

## $NEE = GPP - R_a - R_h - Fire - LUC$

- NEE = net ecosystem exchange
- GPP = gross primary productivity (photosynthesis)
- $R_a$  = autotrophic respiration
- $R_h$  = heterotrophic respiration
- Fire = carbon flux due to fire

(and plant and soil carbon pools)

## $NEE = GPP - R_a - R_h - Fire - LUC$

- NEE = net ecosystem exchange
- GPP = gross primary productivity (photosynthesis)
- $R_a$  = autotrophic respiration
- $R_h$  = heterotrophic respiration
- Fire = carbon flux due to fire
- LUC = C flux due to land use change

## How does land use change affect ecosystem carbon?

Assume land use change primarily converts forests to pasture and croplands

- a. Increase
- b. Decrease
- c. Neither
- d. Both





## Land use change decreases total C storage

Lombardozzi, unpublished data

## How does land use change affect ecosystem carbon?

Assume land use change primarily converts forests to pasture and croplands

- a. Increase
- b. Decrease
- c. Neither
- d. Both

What wins? Biogeophysics vs Biogeochemistry

An example using land use change

## **Biogeophysics and biogeochemistry**

ΔT (°C)

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.01

-0.01

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

-0.4

-0.5

#### (a) Biogeophysical



#### Historical land use & land-cover change

- Biogeophysical processes decrease annual mean temperature (albedo)
- Deforestation releases carbon (warms temperature)

## **Biogeophysics and biogeochemistry**

ΔT (°C)

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.01

-0.01

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

-0.4

-0.5

#### (a) Biogeophysical



(b) Biogeochemical



(c) Net



#### Historical land use & land-cover change

- Biogeophysical processes decrease annual mean temperature (albedo)
- Deforestation releases carbon (warms temperature)

## **Biogeophysics and biogeochemistry**

#### (a) Biogeophysical



(b) Biogeochemical



(c) Net



0.5
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0.01
-0.01
-0.1
-0.2
-0.3
-0.4

-0.5

ΔT (°C)

#### Historical land use & land-cover change

- Biogeophysical processes decrease annual mean temperature (albedo)
- Deforestation releases carbon (warms temperature)
- Biogeochemical warming exceeds biogeophysical cooling

#### Prevailing paradigm

The dominant competing signals from historical deforestation are an increase in surface albedo countered by carbon emission to the atmosphere

# Outline

- 1. Why the land surface matters
- 2. Primary components of terrestrial energy balance
- 3. Primary components of hydrology
- 4. Primary components of the terrestrial carbon cycle

### Ecological & Environmental Theory

Conceptual & Statistical Models

## Land Surface Model

Process Models & Feedbacks

Scaling Future Projections Complex Interactions Decisions & Impacts

### **Empirical Data**

Field Observations

## Multi-model carbon cycle uncertainty


1. Forcing (scenario) uncertainty GHG emission scenarios, land use, etc.

Scientific community: multiple scenarios



1. Forcing (scenario) uncertainty GHG emission scenarios, land use, etc.

Scientific community: multiple scenarios

2. Response (model) uncertainty Parameterizations, resolution, etc.

Scientific community: multiple models



1. Forcing (scenario) uncertainty GHG Emission scenarios, land use, etc.

Scientific community: multiple scenarios

2. Response (model) uncertainty Parameterizations, resolution, etc.

Scientific community: multiple models



3. Internal (natural, or unforced) variability Initial value problems (e.g., air temperature)

Scientific community: largely not represented



## Many paths to reduce model uncertainty

#### Model intercomparisons (MIPs)

CMIP6: carbon cycle, land use, land -atmosphere interactions Range of plausible outcomes, but more models  $\neq$  better results

#### **Model intracomparison**

Focus on structural uncertainty within a model to identify processes contributing to uncertainty

#### **Model benchmarking**

Comprehensive model evaluation against observations

#### **Model data-fusion**

Data assimilation, parameter estimation

#### **Comparison to real-world manipulative experiments**

FACE, N addition

#### "Discover" critical missing processes

Processes that are ecologically important but poorly understood at the global scale Requires tuning key parameters to get a good simulation

#### **Model hierarchy**

Use models with similar process representation but different levels of complexity

# Modeling Caveats

Land surface models are just a starting point for the science, not the science itself

It's easy to run the model & get an answer

It's much harder to understand why you got that answer

Land surface models like CLM are very complex and multidisciplinary. Be cognizant of how you use and interpret model simulations.